

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,140	08/18/2003	J. Richard Aylward	02103-519002/S93 US1	3288
26162 FISH & RICH	7590 12/27/2014 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 102	2	KURR, JASON RICHARD		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/643,140	AYLWARD ET AL.	AYLWARD ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit					
JASON R. KURR	2614					

	JASON R. KURR	2614	I				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	idress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extracions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the act or extended profited for reply will, by statute, Any reply recoved by the Office later than three months after the mailing aeried plant term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.794(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 No	ovember 2010.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1.2.6.42 and 46 is/are pending in the a	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,6,42 and 46 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			FB 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		-					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a laim All b loome * c lo	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
4) M Notice of Defendence Object (DTO 200)	Δ Π I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	(DTO 448)					

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Eraftsperson's Patent Drawing Fleview (PTO-942)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/643,140

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 551(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 6, 42 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thigpen (US 7343020 B2).

With respect to claim 1, Thigpen discloses an audio system including a plurality of channels intended to be radiated in a predetermined positional relationship to a listener (col.1 ln.60-63), comprising: a listening area (fig.1 #10) comprising a plurality of listening spaces (fig.1 #22,24); a first directional local audio device (fig.1 #40, "driver side set of speakers") comprising at least two radiating elements (fig.1 #40 "left and right speakers around driver") radiating sound waves that destructively interfere more in some directions than the sound waves destructively interfere in other directions (col.7 ln.4-12), the directional audio device being positioned in a first of said listening spaces, close to a head of the listener for radiating first sound waves corresponding to a first of said channels (col.3 ln.31-39); and a second nonlocal audio device (fig.4 #142), positioned inside said listening area and outside said listening spaces, distant from said

Application/Control Number: 10/643,140

Art Unit: 2614

first of said listening spaces, for radiating sound waves corresponding to said first of said channels (col.6 In.14-16). It is implied that destructive interference resultant from two separate sound sources (#40) would not be equal at all points in space, therefore the sound waves would destructively interfere more in some directions when compared to others. Thigpen discloses wherein the speakers #40 may be ultrasonic transducers. It is implied that ultrasonic transducers function through destructive interference at the head of a listener. It is also well known that the non-local audio device #142 of Thigpen would propagate the low frequency band of a combination of both right and left channels.

With respect to claim 6, Thigpen discloses an audio system in accordance with claim 1, wherein said listening area comprises a vehicle passenger compartment and said listening locations comprise seating locations within said vehicle passenger compartment (fig.1).

With respect to claim 42, Thigpen discloses an audio system including a plurality of channels intended to be radiated in a predetermined positional relationship to a listener (col.1 ln.60-63), comprising: a listening area (fig.1 #10) comprising a plurality of listening spaces (fig.1 #22,24); a first local directional audio device (fig.1 #40, "driver side set of speakers") comprising at least two radiating elements (fig.1 #40 "left and right speakers around driver") radiating sound waves that destructively interfere more in some directions than the sound waves destructively interfere in other directions (col.7 ln.4-12), the directional audio device at a fixed location in a first of said listening spaces, close to a head of the listener for radiating first sound waves corresponding to a first of

Application/Control Number: 10/643,140

Art Unit: 2614

said channels (col.3 In.31-39); and a second nonlocal audio device (fig.4 #142), positioned inside said listening area and outside said first of said listening spaces, distant from said first of said listening spaces, for radiating sound waves corresponding to said first of said channels (col.6 In.14-16). It is implied that destructive interference resultant from two separate sound sources (#40) would not be equal at all points in space, therefore the sound waves would destructively interfere more in some directions when compared to others. Thigpen discloses wherein the speakers #40 may be ultrasonic transducers. It is implied that ultrasonic transducers function through destructive interference at the head of a listener. It is also well known that the non-local audio device #142 of Thigpen would propagate the low frequency band of a combination of both right and left channels.

With respect to claim 46, Thigpen discloses an audio system in accordance with claim 42, wherein said listening area comprises a vehicle passenger compartment and said listening locations comprise seating locations within said vehicle passenger compartment (fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/643,140
Art Unit: 2614

Claims 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thigpen (US 7343020 B2)in view of Hoolev et al (US 7577260 B1).

With respect to claim 2, Thigpen discloses an audio system in accordance with claim 1, however does not disclose expressly wherein said directional audio devices comprise a plurality of acoustic drivers, wherein said acoustic drivers are positioned and arranged to radiate sound waves that interfere destructively at a first predetermined location in space and to interfere nondestructively at a second predetermined location in space. Thigpen discloses wherein the speakers #40 may be of the directional ultrasonic type (col.7 in.4-12).

Hooley discloses a directional ultrasonic transducer (fig.1 #105) that comprises a plurality of acoustic drivers (fig.1 #104), wherein said acoustic drivers are positioned and arranged to radiate sound waves that interfere destructively at a first predetermined location in space and to interfere nondestructively at a second predetermined location in space (col.24 ln.4-31). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the ultrasonic transducer of Hooley as the speakers #40 of Thigpen. The motivation for doing so would have been to use a highly directional transducer to generate a spatial sound field within the cabin of the vehicle. This would provide spatial depth to the reproduced sound signals.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed November 10, 2010, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 42 under Scofield in view of Arai have been fully Art Unit: 2614

considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Thigpen and Hooley.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Thigpen (US 5901235) discloses an enhanced planar transducer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON R. KURR whose telephone number is (571)272-0552. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00am to 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,140 Page 7

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jason R Kurr/

Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/VIVIAN CHIN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614